

ENGINEERING REVIEW FOR CHAPTER 105 APPLICATIONS

Proje	ct Name:	PA Pipeline Project AKA (Mariner East П)	Application No. E67-920			
		York County	APS No. 879354			
D	:44	Compared to the Control	AUTH No. <u>1088256</u>			
Perm	птее:	Sunoco Pipeline, L.P, (SPLP)				
Spec	ial Conditior					
		Special Conditions Attached				
Dese	امام د کام است					
Reco	rd of Decisi					
A.	Project Description (duplicate final description from PA Bulletin): Published as Application Received on: 06/25/2016					
	The pro and 16-inc a total of 2 MF), a tot River (WV Creek (CV floodway impacts to Emergent applicant	f approximately 6.5 miles long, of 20 inch sed project impacts in York County include ributaries to the Susquehanna River (WWF, annamed tributaries to the Susquehanna unnamed tributaries to Yellow Breeches a (WWF), and 3.157 acres of permanent impacts, and 0.148 acres of temporary acres of permanent impacts to Palustrine No compensation is being proposed by the ark County. The proposed project impacts in				
	approxima	t application are associated with a proposed transmately 306 miles and 255 miles in Pennsylvania bet A and Marcus Hook Borough, Delaware County,	ween Houston Borough, Washington			

-	Ineligible US ACOE	
e issued by	US ACOE	
☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Yes	☐ No	
	:	
4		
nt		
2. HSCA.	Superfund	
2, 110011,	Бирелина	
anters:		
шр и сло.		
⊠ Yes	□ No	
☐ Yes	No 🗌 No	
□ v _{oo}	. □ No	1
		_ [] n=100
an 50-yea	r [] Olba	11 100-
	=	
	=	
	=	
∐ Yes	₃ ∐ No	
□ Ves	s \square No	
Yes		
	nt 2, HSCA, apters: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	nt 2, HSCA, Superfund apters: Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No On Yes No

☐ E. Channel Changes & Dredging			
105.231. Permit applications for construction or modification of channel change and dredging for facility construction & maintenance.	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.232. Maintenance dredging	☐ Yes	□·No	⊠ N/A
105.233. Removal of sand, gravel, and other valuable minerals.	Yes Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.241. Flood effect	Yes Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.242. Channel alignment and cross section.	Yes	∐ No	⊠ N/A
105.245. Disposal of waste materials	∐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
☐ F. Fills, Levees, Floodways, & Streambank Retaining Devices			
105.261. Permit applications for construction or modification of fills, levees, floodwalls and streambank retaining devices.	Yes	☐ No	N/A
105.262. Permit applications for existing fills, levees, floodwalls and streambank retaining devices.	Yes	No No	⊠ N/A
105.271. General Criteria (fills, levees, floodways, etc.)	Yes	☐ No	N/A
105.272. Waste materials	Yes Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.273. Slopes	Yes Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.274-276. Top Width, Interior drainage, & Freeboard of Levees and/or Floodwalls	Yes Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
G. Stream Crossings, Outfalls, & Headwalls			. ;
105.301. Permit applications for construction or modification of	⊠ Yes	☐ No	N/A
stream crossings, outfalls and headwalls			IV/A
105.302. Permit applications for existing stream crossings by	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
pipelines for conveyance of petroleum products and gas.	<u> </u>		
105.311. General criteria (for stream crossings, outfalls, pipelines,	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
etc.) 105.313. Pipelines under streams	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
105.314. Pipelines along streams	Yes	∏ No	⊢ N/A
105.315. Aerial crossings	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A
5			_
☐ H. Docks, Wharves & Bulkheads			
105.331. Permit applications for new and existing docks, wharves & bulkheads	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
105.332. Riparian property	☐ Yes	☐ No	N/A
105.341. Passage of ice and flood waters	□ 1 7	☐ No	⊠ N/A
	☐ Yes	☐ 140	_
J. Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material	Yes		
105.401. Permit applications for discharges of dredged material or			
	☐ Yes	□ No □ No	□ N/A □ N/A

•	Aunicipal Consistency (check all that apply) – See 105.14.(b)(9) Review of applications below			
	 			
•	Method of Construction			
	The project involves work when the method of construction was pertinent in DEP's review. If so, please explain below:	□ N/A		
	See discussion on the submitted alternatives analysis below.			
•	Submerged Lands of the Commonwealth Application meets the following subsections:			
	105.31. Property rights ☐ Yes ☐ No 105.32. Projects – proper purpose ☐ Yes ☐ No 105.33. SLLA Required ☐ Yes ☐ No The project has an existing permit or old navigation license. ☐ Yes ☐ No	N/AN/AN/A		
	Existing Permit or License Number:			
•	Public Comments			
	Public comments have been received C&R document has been prepared and is attached. • A project-wide C&R document has been prepared • A project-wide C&R document has been prepared			

E. Recommendations

• With the provided information and the results of this review, it has been determined that the proposed project meets all the requirements of Chapter 105, including Subchapter G, 105.291 relating to Stream Crossings, Outfalls and Headwalls. The project is proposed in a manner that will not pose an increased threat to public health or safety. This application is therefore recommended for approval pending any environmental concerns.

Signature of Reviewer

Date

ignature of Supervisor

7-/10/17-Date

Explanation of Review:

This Record of Decision (ROD) is based on the review of the York County Joint Permit Application (JPA) prepared by Tetra Tech on behalf of their client Sunoco Pipeline, L.P. (SPLP) for the Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (a.k.a., Mariner East 2). The overall project includes work in seventeen (17) counties. A specific JPA was prepared for each of the counties. The applicant submitted a JPA on August 17, 2015 which was determined to be incomplete on October 26, 2015. The applicant responded with general revisions to their JPA on December 8, 2015 but the JPA remained incomplete. Subsequently a revised JPA was submitted and determined to be complete on June 14, 2016. However, after review the JPA was deemed technically deficient on September 6, 2016. SPLP requested a time extension on October 31, 2016 to submit additional information. An extension was granted allowing supporting information to be submitted on or before December 7, 2016. SPLP submitted a revised entire JPA on December 6, 2016. DEP sent subsequent email comments to SPLP (between December 6, 2016 and February 2, 2017) requesting additional clarifications. SPLP responded by providing this information. In addition to the applicant's supplemental information, public comments have been accepted by the DEP beginning on June 25, 2016 and a public meeting was held in West Chester on August 10, 2016. These submittals and comments are available in the administrative record.

§ 105.14. Review of applications.

- (a) An application will be reviewed to determine the proposed project's effect on health, safety and the environment, in accordance with prevailing practices in the engineering profession and in accordance with current environmental principles.
 - Based on this engineering evaluation report and the accompanying detailed environmental report, this proposed pipe installation project will not pose a threat to health or safety, and all impacts to property or the environment have been adequately mitigated. After careful evaluation, I find the proposed permit application meets Chapter 105 Rules and Regulations relating to prevailing practices in the engineering profession, and therefore recommend this project for approval.
- (b) In reviewing a permit application under this chapter, the Department will use the following factors to make a determination of impact:
- (1) Potential threats to life or property created by the dam, water obstruction or encroachment.

 Reference is made to the following submitted plans that address threats to life and property.
 - Preparedness, Prevention and Contingency Plan
 - Water Supply Assessment, Preparedness, Prevention and Contingency Plan
 - HDD Inadvertent Return Assessment, Preparedness, Prevention and Contingency Plan
 - Void Mitigation Plan for Karst Terrain and Underground Mining
- (2) Potential threats to safe navigation created by the dam, water obstruction or encroachment. There are no proposed changes to navigable waters.
- (3) The effect of the dam, water obstruction or encroachment on the property or riparian rights of owners upstream, downstream or adjacent to the project.
 To minimize effects, the majority of the proposed new ROW will be co-located adjacent to existing utility corridors or co-located within the existing SPLP Mariner East pipeline system that is currently used for the transportation of NGL's. In areas where land rights are required, the Applicant has entered into agreements with the landowners in regards to installation, operation, and maintenance of the pipelines.
- (4) The effect of the dam, water obstruction or encroachment on regimen and ecology of the watercourse or other body of water, water quality, stream flow, fish and wildlife, aquatic habitat, instream and downstream uses and other significant environmental factors.

 See the Environmental Record of Decision.
- (5) The impacts of the dam, water obstruction or encroachment on nearby natural areas, wildlife sanctuaries, public water supplies, other geographical or physical features including cultural, archaeological and historical landmarks, National wildlife refuges, National natural landmarks, National, State or local parks or recreation areas or National, State or local historical sites.

 See the Environmental Record of Decision, the Water Supply Assessment PPC Plan, and the Phase I Archaeological Investigations Report.
- (6) Compliance by the dam, water obstruction or encroachment with applicable laws administered by the Department, the Fish and Boat Commission and river basin commissions created by interstate compact.
 - See the Environmental Record of Decision.
- (7) The extent to which a project is water dependent and thereby requires access or proximity to or siting within water to fulfill the basic purposes of the project. The dependency must be based on the demonstrated unavailability of any alternative location, route or design and the use of location, route or design to avoid or minimize the adverse impact of the dam, water obstruction or

encroachment upon the environment and protect the public natural resources of this Commonwealth.

See the Environmental Record of Decision.

- (8) Present conditions and the effects of reasonably foreseeable future development within the affected watershed upstream and downstream of the dam, water obstruction or encroachment:
 - (i) A dam, water obstruction or encroachment shall be designed, constructed and operated to assure adequacy and compliance with this chapter, taking into account reasonably foreseeable development within the watershed.

 The applicant has utilized the existing pipeline corridor for the majority of the project.
 - The applicant has utilized the existing pipeline corridor for the majority of the project. But during and after the selection process of the final right of way, various minor reroutes to the alignment were incorporated to avoid and/or reduce potential adverse impacts to residential and commercial developments.
 - (ii) In assessing the impact of future development upon a dam, water obstruction or encroachment, the Department may require the applicant to submit data regarding estimated development potentials and municipal, county and regional planning related to the affected watershed.
 - This was not required as the applicant has utilized the existing pipeline corridor for the majority of the project.
 - (9) Consistency with State and local floodplain and stormwater management programs, the State Water Plan and the Coastal Zone Management Plan.
 DEP has considered consistency with the local floodplain and stormwater management plans utilizing the letter requirements of Sections 105.13(d)(1)(vi) and 105.13(k)and base our review on the overall requirements of both Chapters 105 and 106, including the Floodplain Management Analysis that was provided by the applicant to the municipality.
 - (10) Consistency with the designations of wild, scenic and recreational streams under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C.A. § § 1271—1287) or the Pennsylvania Scenic Rivers Act (32 P. S. § § 820.21—820.29), including identified 1-A candidates.

 See the Environmental Record of Decision.
 - (11)Consistency with State antidegradation requirements contained in Chapters 93, 95 and 102 (relating to water quality standards; wastewater treatment requirements; and erosion and sediment control) and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C.A. § § 1251—1376).

 The submittal addresses consistency with State Antidegradation requirements contained in
 - The submittal addresses consistency with State Antidegradation requirements contained in Chapters 93, 95, 102 and 105.
 - (12)Secondary impacts associated with but not the direct result of the construction or substantial modification of the dam or reservoir, water obstruction or encroachment in the area of the project and in areas adjacent thereto and future impacts associated with dams, water obstructions or encroachments, the construction of which would result in the need for additional dams, water obstructions or encroachments to fulfill the project purpose.
 - See the Environmental Record of Decision.
 - (13) For dams, water obstructions or encroachments in, along, across or projecting into a wetland, as defined in § 105.1 (relating to definitions), the Department will also consider the impact on the wetlands values and functions in making a determination of adverse impact.

 See the Environmental Record of Decision.
 - (14) The cumulative impact of this project and other potential or existing projects. In evaluating the cumulative impact, the Department will consider whether numerous piecemeal changes may result in a major impairment of the wetland resources. The Department will evaluate a particular wetland

site for which an application is made with the recognition that it is part of a complete and interrelated wetland area.

See the Environmental Record of Decision.

- (c) In reviewing a permit application under § 105.11(c) (relating to permit requirements) and section 6(c) of the act (32 P. S. § 693.6(c)) for the operation and maintenance of an existing dam, water obstruction or encroachment, the Department will use the following factors:
 - Potential threats to life, property or safe navigation created by the continuing operation or maintenance of the project.
 The applicant has supplied PPC Plans that address the continued operation and maintenance of the proposed pipeline and appurtenant structures.
 - (2) Adverse impact on stream flow, water quality or the environment which might be reduced or
 mitigated by reasonable changes in the operation of the project.
 The submitted operation and maintenance procedures address adverse impacts to stream
 flow, water quality or the environment.
 - (3) Compliance of the operation and maintenance of the project with applicable laws administered by the Department, the Fish and Boat Commission and river basin commissions created by interstate compact.

The submitted operation and maintenance procedures address compliance with all appropriate local, state, and federal permits and authorizations