PA DEP – Permits & Technical Services Section – ESC Permitting Individual Permit RECORD OF DECISION

ESC Application No.: ESG 05 000 15 001 Individual Permit

Applicant: Sunoco Pipeline, L.P.	roject Name: Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Mariner East	<u>2</u>)
Washington County, Chartiers Township: Watershed: Chartiers Run, WWF Watershed: UNT to Chartiers Run (4), WWF Watershed: Westland Run, WWF Watershed: Chartiers Creek, WWF Watershed: UNT to Chartiers Creek, WWF	EV □ HQ □ Non-SP □	
Washington County, North Strabane Township: Watershed: <u>UNT to Chartiers Creek (3), WWF</u> Watershed: <u>Little Chartiers Creek, WWF-HQ</u> Watershed: <u>UNT to Little Chartiers Creek, WWF-H</u>	EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☒ EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☐ Q EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☐	
Washington County, Nottingham Township: Watershed: UNT to Peters Creek (6), TSF Watershed: Peters Creek, TSF Watershed: UNT to Mingo Creek(9), TSF-HQ	EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV Non-SP	
Washington County, Union Township: Watershed: UNT to Mingo Creek (3), TSF-HQ Watershed: Froman Run, TSF Watershed: UNT to Froman Run (3), TSF Watershed: Monongahela River, WWF	EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ☒ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ☒ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ☒	
Allegheny County, Forward Township: Watershed: Monongahela River, WWF Watershed: UNT to Bunola Run (4), WWF Watershed: Bunola Run, WWF Watershed: Kelly Run, WWF Watershed: UNT to Kelly Run, WWF Watershed: UNT to Perry Mill Run, WWF Watershed: Perry Mill Run, WWF Watershed: Sunfish Run, WWF Watershed: UNT to Sunfish Run (5), WWF Watershed: UNT to Beckets Run (8), WWF	EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ⋈ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ⋈	
Allegheny County, Elizabeth Township: Watershed: UNT to Gillespie Run (3), WWF Watershed: Long Hollow, WWF Watershed: UNT to Pollock Run (2), WWF	EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV Non-SP	
Westmoreland County, Rostraver Township: Watershed: UNT to Pollock Run (2), WWF Watershed: Pollock Run, WWF Watershed: Youghiogheny River, WWF	EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP EV Non-SP	
Westmoreland County, South Huntington Towns Watershed: Youghiogheny River, WWF Watershed: UNT to Sewickley Creek (2), WWF	nip: EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☒ EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☒	

Westmoreland County, Sewickley Township: Watershed: Sewickley Creek, WWF Watershed: UNT to Sewickley Creek (3), WWF Watershed: UNT to Kellys Run, WWF Watershed: Little Sewickley Creek, TSF Watershed: UNT to Little Sewickley Creek, TSF	EV EV EV EV	HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ	Non-SP 🔀 Non-SP 🔀 Non-SP 🔀 Non-SP 🔀 Non-SP 🔀	
Westmoreland County, Hempfield Township: Watershed: Little Sewickley Creek, TSF Watershed: UNT to Little Sewickley Creek (15), TSF Watershed: UNT to Brush Creek (6), TSF	EV EV EV	HQ ☐ HQ ☐ HQ ☐	Non-SP ⊠ Non-SP ⊠ Non-SP ⊠	
Westmoreland County, Jeannette: Watershed: <u>Brush Creek, TSF</u>	EV 🗌	но□	Non-SP 🔀	
Westmoreland County, Penn Township: Watershed: UNT to Brush Creek, TSF Watershed: UNT to Bushy Run, TSF Watershed: Bushy Run, TSF Watershed: UNT to Turtle Creek (2), TSF	EV EV EV	HQ	Non-SP 🖂 Non-SP 🖂 Non-SP 🖂 Non-SP 🖂	
Westmoreland County, Murrysville: Watershed: <u>UNT to Turtle Creek (3), TSF</u> Watershed: <u>Turtle Creek, TSF</u>	EV 🗌	НО 🗌 НО 🔲	Non-SP ⊠ Non-SP ⊠	
Westmoreland County, Salem Township: Watershed: Thorn Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Beaver Run (19), CWF-HQ Watershed: Beaver Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Porters Run (12), CWF-HQ Watershed: Porters Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Loyalhanna Creek (12), CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Serviceberry Run (2), WWF-HQ	EV	HQ X	Non-SP	
Westmoreland County, Loyalhanna: Watershed: UNT to Serviceberry Run (4), WWF-HQ Watershed: Serviceberry Run, WWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Loyalhanna Lake (2), WWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Loyalhanna Creek (8), WWF Watershed: Loyalhanna Creek, WWF Watershed: UNT to Boatyard Run (8), CWF	EV EV EV EV EV EV EV EV	HQ⊠ HQ⊠ HQ⊠ HQ□ HQ□ HQ□	Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP	
Westmoreland County, Derry Township: Watershed: Boatyard Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Boatyard Run (12), CWF Watershed: UNT to Spruce Run (6), CWF-HQ Watershed: Spruce Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Conemaugh River, CWF Watershed: Conemaugh River, WWF	EV EV EV EV EV EV EV EV	HQ ☐ HQ ☐ HQ ☒ HQ ☐ HQ ☐	Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP	
Indiana County, Burrell Township: Watershed: Conemaugh River, WWF Watershed: UNT to Conemaugh River (5), CWF Watershed: UNT to Blacklick Creek (21), CWF Watershed: UNT to Toms Run (9), CWF-TSF Watershed: Toms Run, CWF-TSF	EV	HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ HQ	Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP Non-SP	

Indiana County, West Wheatfield: Watershed: UNT to Roaring Run (6), CWF Watershed: Roaring Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Conemaugh River (2), CWF Watershed: West Branch Richards Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to West Branch Richards Run (4), CWF Watershed: UNT to East Branch Richards Run (7), CWF Watershed: East Branch Richards Run, CWF	EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ⋈ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ⋈
Indiana County, East Wheatfield: Watershed: UNT to Conemaugh River (31), CWF Watershed: UNT to Findley Run (15), CWF-HQ Watershed: Findley Run, CWF-HQ	EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☐ EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☐ EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☐
Cambria County, Jackson Township: Watershed: UNT to Findley Run (10), CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Laurel Run (10), CWF-HQ Watershed: Laurel Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: Hinckston Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Hinckston Run (10), CWF Watershed: UNT to Saltlick Run (24), CWF-HQ Watershed: Saltlick Run, CWF-HQ	EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □ EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □ EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☒ EV □ HQ □ Non-SP ☒ EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □ EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □ EV □ HQ ☒ Non-SP □
Cambria County, Cambria Township: Watershed: Stewart Run, CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Stewart Run (7), CWF-HQ Watershed: UNT to Roaring Run (8), CWF Watershed: Roaring Run, CWF Watershed: Howells Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Howells Run (20), CWF Watershed: Sanders Run, CWF	EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☐ EV ☐ HQ ☒ Non-SP ☒ EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☒
Cambria County, Munster Township: Watershed: UNT to North Branch Little Conemaugh River Watershed: North Branch Little Conemaugh River, CWF Watershed: UNT to Noels Creek (19), CWF-HQ Watershed: Noels Creek, CWF-HQ	E (13), CWF EV
Cambria County, Cresson Township: Watershed: UNT to Little Conemaugh River (15), CWF Watershed: Little Conemaugh River, CWF Watershed: Burgoon Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Burgoon Run (5), CWF Watershed: UNT to Bear Rock Run (9), CWF	EV ☐ HQ ☐ Non-SP ☒
Cambria County, Washington Township: Watershed: UNT to Bear Rock Run, CWF Watershed: UNT to Blair Run (3), CWF	EV HQ Non-SP EV HQ Non-SP Non-SP
CD Reviewers: Allegheny County: Matt Gordon; Camb Washington County: Nathan Simon; Westmoreland Cou	ria County: Bobbie Blososky; Indiana County: Andrea Frustaci; Inty: Chris Droste
Allegheny County: Pipeline Area: 97 acres Westmoreland County: Pipeline Area: 372 acres Indiana County: Pipeline Area: 209 acres	ton Injection Station Area: 2.70 acres Total Disturbed Area: 192 acres Total Disturbed Area: 97 acres ont Pump Station Area: 12.40 acres Total Disturbed Area: 209 acres Total Disturbed Area: 249 acres sburg Pump Station Area: 4.44 acres Total Disturbed Area: 249 acres

÷

•

E&S Plan components: Reviewed and a	ipproved by: 🗵 CD 🔲 DEP	
PCSM Plan components:	Adequate	
Written Narrative		
Plan Drawings	\boxtimes	
Identification/location of PCSM BMPs		
Operation & Maintenance Procedures		
Supporting calculations, if required		
o Does BMP selection and location appear reas	onable? YES 🛛 NO 🗌 Expl	ain:
o Hydrologic Method(s): <u>TR-55</u>		
o Was on-site testing done for soil permeability	if infiltration is proposed?	
YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗍 🛮 Explai	n:	•
o Does volume of stormwater to be managed ed	qual or exceed net change in volun	ne of runoff (pre to post-construction)?
YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗍 Explain	n:	
o Has justification been provided if BMP's will		4-hr runoff volume?
YES NO N/A Explain		
o Are infiltration practices maximized, with res	pect to site constraints?	
	1:	f
o Stormwater Consistency:		
	approved and consistency letter p	provided; OR
	Meets design criteria of 25 Pa. Co	de Chapter 102.8(g)(2) and (3); OR
•	Design Standard used per 25 Pa. C	
Summary of the PCSM Plan(s):		
Chartiers Run Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfal	II: <u>2.38</u> inches	
Design Storm a year (2 + m)	Pre-development	Post-development Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.0	<u>1.095</u> +1.095
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (ac	re-ft) <u>0.041</u>	0.156 +0.115
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		$\underbrace{0.039} $
Peak discharge rate - 2-yr/24-hour storm	(cfs) 0.38	0.00 -0.38
Peak discharge rate - 10-yr/24-hour storn	n(cfs) <u>1.20</u>	<u>1.18</u> <u>-0.02</u>
Peak discharge rate - 50-yr/24-hour storn	n(cfs) <u>2.38</u>	<u>1.73</u> <u>-0.65</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour stor	m(cfs) <u>2.99</u>	<u>1.99</u> <u>-1.00</u>
Tributary 43017 to Beaver Run (POI-A)		
	l: <u>2.7</u> inches	
	Pre-development	Post-development Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	12.482	<u>14.445</u> <u>+1.963</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (act	re-ft) 3.326	<u>3.466</u> +0.140

Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		3.170	<u>→ -0.156</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>37.80</u>	<u>35.52</u>	<u>-2.28</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>72.79</u>	<u>68.18</u>	<u>-4.61</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>101.35</u>	<u>94.16</u>	<u>-7.19</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	113.00	<u>105.00</u>	<u>-8.00</u>

UNT to Turtle Creek (POI-B) Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr)

Rainfall: 2.7 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.159	<u>0.689</u>	+0.530
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.235	0.256	<u>+0.021</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.184	→ - <u>0.051</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	4.08	2.19	<u>-1.89</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>8.78</u>	<u>5.09</u>	<u>-3.69</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>12.72</u>	<u>8.75</u>	<u>-3.97</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>14.34</u>	<u>10.14</u>	<u>-4.20</u>

UNT to Turtle Creek (POI-C) Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr)

Rainfall: 2.7 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	<u>0.157</u>	0.446	<u>+0.289</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.107	0.156	+0.049
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.100	<u>→ -0.007</u>
		•	
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>1.91</u>	<u>1.49</u>	<u>-0.42</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	3.89	<u>2.91</u>	<u>-0.98</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>5.58</u>	4.22	<u>-1.36</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	6.28	<u>4.75</u>	<u>-1.53</u>

Koontz Road, Westmoreland County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.44 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.00	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.15</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.047	0.058	0.011
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.021	→ <u>-0.026</u>
		4.074	0.400
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>1.854</u>	<u>1.374</u>	<u>-0.480</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>3.989</u>	<u>3.125</u>	<u>-0.864</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>6.779</u>	<u>5.960</u>	<u>-0.819</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>8.185</u>	<u>7.613</u>	<u>-0.573</u>

Bush Road, Westmoreland County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.45 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.15</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.053	0.058	0.005

Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.018	<u>>-0.035</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>5.591</u>	4.797	<u>-0.794</u>
Peak discharge rate - 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>11.44</u>	<u>10.07</u>	<u>-1.37</u>
Peak discharge rate - 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>19.05</u>	<u>17.26</u>	<u>-1.79</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	22.83	<u>20.87</u>	<u>-1.96</u>

Westinghouse Road, Westmoreland County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.50 inches

-	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.00	0.00	<u>0.00</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.047	0.058	<u>0.011</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.030	→ <u>-0.017</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	0.744	0.108	<u>-0.636</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>1.554</u>	<u>0.451</u>	<u>-1.103</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	2.626	<u>1.141</u>	<u>-1.485</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>3.161</u>	<u>1.617</u>	<u>-1.544</u>

Newport Road, Indiana County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.51 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	· <u>0.00</u>	<u>0.31</u>	<u>0.31</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.087	0.120	<u>0.033</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.058	<u>→ -0.029</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>5.466</u>	<u>3.134</u>	<u>-2.332</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>11.50</u>	<u>7.906</u>	<u>-3.594</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>19.42</u>	<u>14.31</u>	<u>-5.11</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>23.37</u>	<u>17.87</u>	<u>-5.50</u>

Chestnut Road, Indiana County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.57 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.00	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.024	0.043	<u>0.019</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.017	→ <u>-0.007</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	0.361	<u>0.181</u>	<u>-0.180</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>3.620</u>	2.318	<u>-1.302</u>
Peak discharge rate - 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>9.841</u>	<u>6.705</u>	<u>-3.136</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	13.37	<u>9.210</u>	<u>-4.160</u>

Grange Hall Road, Indiana County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.59 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0.17</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.027	0.039	<u>0.012</u>

Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.000	<u>>-0.027</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	1.063	0.239	<u>-0.824</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>2.113</u>	<u>1.135</u>	<u>-0.978</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>3.557</u>	<u>2.892</u>	<u>-0.665</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>4.311</u>	<u>3.470</u>	<u>-0.841</u>

Cooney Road, Cambria County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.62 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	0.00	0.18	0.18
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	0.078	0.085	<u>0.007</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		0.028	<u>→ -0.050</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	2.154	<u>1.399</u>	<u>-0.755</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>4.315</u>	<u>3.183</u>	<u>-1.132</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>7.212</u>	<u>5.989</u>	<u>-1.223</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>8.680</u>	<u>7.181</u>	<u>-1.499</u>

Sanders Run

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.8 inches

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
Impervious Area (acre)	3.090	<u>4.924</u>	<u>+1.834</u>
Volume of runoff w/o planned BMPs (acre-ft)	1.994	2.144	<u>+0.150</u>
Volume of runoff reduction (acre-ft)		1.947	-> <u>-0.047</u>
Peak discharge rate – 2-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	17.92	<u>16.62</u>	<u>-1.30</u>
Peak discharge rate – 10-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>53.57</u>	<u>50.17</u>	<u>-3.40</u>
Peak discharge rate – 50-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>77.46</u>	<u>68.26</u>	<u>-3.06</u>
Peak discharge rate – 100-yr/24-hour storm(cfs)	<u>99.67</u>	<u>99.63</u>	<u>-0.04</u>

Kozak Road, Cambria County

Design Storm: 2-year (24-hr) Rainfall: 2.66 inches

Pre-development	Post-development	Net Change
$\underline{0.00}$	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
0.027	0.046	<u>0.019</u>
	0.015	<u>→ -0.012</u>
4.055	<u>3.027</u>	<u>-1.028</u>
<u>9.055</u>	<u>9.048</u>	<u>-0.007</u>
<u>15.87</u>	<u>15.62</u>	<u>-0.25</u>
<u>19.44</u>	<u>19.05</u>	<u>-0.39</u>
	0.00 0.027 4.055 9.055 15.87	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 0.00 & 0.00 \\ \hline 0.027 & 0.046 \\ \hline 0.015 & \\ 4.055 & 3.027 \\ 9.055 & 9.048 \\ 15.87 & 15.62 \end{array} $

Co-Located Block Valve Sites:

The following sites were designed as co-located block valve sites:

Pike Street, Washington County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Ross Road, Washington County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Patterson Road, Washington County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Bunola Road, Allegheny County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Collinsburg Road, Westmoreland County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Wachs Road, Westmoreland County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Old Harmony Road, Westmoreland County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Old Chestnut Road, Westmoreland County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

Vinco/Route 271, Cambria County: Existing Site, no change in footprint. PCSM not required.

These sites are located at sites that are in an existing gravel condition (i.e. there will be no increase in impervious area). These sites are still considered a construction activity and not a site restoration activity. However, these sites are for the construction of utility infrastructure and the site will be returned to existing conditions; therefore, these sites meet the exception for 25 Pa. Code §§ 102.8(g)(2)(i) & 102.8(g)(2)(ii). Because there is no change in the proposed conditions from the existing conditions, there will be no net change in the post construction runoff from these sites.

Watershed Analysis: (AKA Anti-Degradation Review or Water Quality An	alysis)
--	---------

Adequate Site Analysis:	YES ⊠ NO ∐ N/A ∐	Details:
Adequate Thermal Impact Analysis:	YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗌	Details:
Adequate E & S Plan for Antidegradation:	YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗌	Details:
Adequate PCSM Plan & Antidegradation Analysis:	YES 🛛 NO 🗌 N/A 🗌	Details:

Comments:

Project Description: Sunoco Pipeline, L.P. (SPLP) proposes to construct and operate the Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Mariner East 2) that would expand existing pipeline systems to provide natural gas liquid (NGL) transportation. The project involves the installation of two parallel pipelines within a 306.8-mile, 50-foot wide right-of-way (ROW) from Houston, Washington County, Pennsylvania to SPLP's Marcus Hook facility in Delaware County, PA with the purpose of interconnecting with existing SPLP Mariner East pipelines. A 20-inch diameter pipeline will be installed from Houston (Washington County) to Marcus Hook (Delaware County) (306.8 miles) and a second, 16-inch diameter pipeline, will be installed from Delmont (Westmoreland County) to Marcus Hook (Delaware County) (255.8 miles) in the same ROW. The majority of the pipeline will be installed within the existing Mariner East ROW (approximately 230 miles) and new ROW will be primarily co-located in or adjacent to existing utility corridors.

BMPs Proposed: Infiltration Beds, Underground Storage Pipes (Retention Basin), Infiltration Berms, limited Disturbed Areas, Re-Construct Drainage Pattern Intact within the right-of-way, Rock Construction Entrances with Wash Racks, Compost Filter Socks, Erosion Control Blankets placed within 100-feet of streams, and Restoration BMPs.

Other Permits:

Chapter 105 - Joint Permits:

Washington County:

E63-674

Allegheny County: Westmoreland County: E65-973

E02-1718

Indiana County:

E32-508

Cambria County:

E11-352

TMDL: The TMDLs have been identified in the application, Volume 1, Section 2. Notice of Intent Application, Attachment 3 - Water/Watershed Table, Receiving Waters Table, Pennsylvania Pipeline Project, Southwest Region.

Potentially Pollution Causing Materials: The Applicant answered "No" to Section C. Project Information, Question 8: "Other Pollutants: Will the stormwater discharge contain pollutional substances other than sediment?"

Riparian Buffer/Equivalency/Offsetting: The Applicant has requested a waiver of the Riparian Buffer requirements per Chapter 102.14(d)(2)(ii) at the locations identified in the application, Volume 1, 2. Notice of Intent Application, Attachment 6 - Riparian Buffer Waiver Request Information, Table 2, Riparian Buffer Waiver Information, Southwest Region. The requested waivers are recommended to be approved at the locations requested.

Other Comments:

The areas tributary to both the Simon and Minick ponds in Nottingham Township, Washington County were reviewed to confirm Erosion Control Facilities were provided to address concerns of accelerated erosion. A note was provided to the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plans requiring field review of the erosion control measures by both WCCD and DEP staff prior to earth disturbance activities in this area.

The Public Comments received by the DEP were considered in the review of the application.

The Applicant provided an Anti-Degradation Analysis for Special Protection watersheds, and Non-Special protection watersheds which have a TMDL or Impaired Waters. The Applicant has provided ABACT BMPs in these watersheds.

Recommendation:					
☑ <u>Issuance:</u> This application has been review the state and satisfactorily addresses the a	ewed and, base dministrative	ed on the sul and technica	mitted information I requirements for t	n, the application the NPDES Cons	has been found to be struction Permitting
Program and the antidegradation requireme	ents found at 93	3.4c.			
Denial/ Return/ Withdrawal: (circle one application has been found to be inadequa for the NPDES Construction Permitting Presolved during the permit review process.	te and does <u>no</u> ogram and/or A	t satisfactor	ly address the adm	inistrative and/or	r technical requirements
Reviewer: Timothy R. McClelland	Initials/Date:	THE	2/10/2017	<u> </u>	

12 Alido